

# Polarization Propagator and Equation of Motion Methods

Joshua Goings

Department of Chemistry, University of Washington

June 21, 2013

## 1 Equation of Motion (EOM) derivation of RPA

Given an *exact* ground state, we can say that

$$H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle \quad (1)$$

Define operator  $Q_n^\dagger$  and  $Q_n$ :

$$|n\rangle = Q_n^\dagger|0\rangle, \quad Q_n|0\rangle = 0 \implies Q_n^\dagger = |n\rangle\langle 0| \quad (2)$$

These operators generate excited states from the ground state (*not* excited determinants, as in the case of post-HF correlation methods). So it is clear that, when acting on an exact ground state:

$$[H, Q_n^\dagger]|0\rangle = (E_n - E_0)Q_n^\dagger|0\rangle = \hbar\omega_{0n}Q_n^\dagger|0\rangle \quad (3)$$

Multiply on left by arbitrary state of form  $\langle 0|\delta Q$ , giving

$$\langle 0|[\delta Q, [H, Q_n^\dagger]]|0\rangle = \hbar\omega_{0n}\langle 0|[\delta Q, Q_n^\dagger]|0\rangle \quad (4)$$

Where we have made use of the fact that  $\langle 0|Q_n^\dagger = \langle 0|HQ_n^\dagger = 0$ . Note that is we express  $Q$  by particle-hole operators  $a_p^\dagger a_q$ ,  $a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger a_r a_s$  with coefficients  $C_{pq}$  and  $C_{pqrs}$ , then  $\delta Q$  is given by  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial C}\delta C$  for arbitrary variations  $\delta C$ . These are in principle exact, since  $\delta Q|0\rangle$  exhausts the whole Hilbert space, such that the above equation corresponds to the full Schrödinger equation. Tamm-Dancoff (or Configuration Interaction Singles) can be obtained by approximating  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |\text{HF}\rangle$  and the operator  $Q_n^\dagger = \sum_{ia} C_{ia} a_a^\dagger a_i$ , restricting ourselves to 1p-1h excitations. Thus  $\delta Q|0\rangle = \sum_{ia} a_a^\dagger a_i |\text{HF}\rangle \delta C_{ai}$ , ( $\delta C_{ai}$  cancels), and

$$\sum_{bj} \langle \text{HF} | [a_i^\dagger a_a, [H, a_b^\dagger a_j]] | \text{HF} \rangle C_{bj} = \hbar\omega \langle \text{HF} | [a_i^\dagger a_a, a_a^\dagger a_i] | \text{HF} \rangle C_{ia} \quad (5)$$

These are the CIS equations. Put another way:

$$\sum_{bj} \{(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_i)\delta_{ab}\delta_{ij} + \langle aj||ib\rangle\} C_{bj} = E^{CIS} C_{ia} \quad (6)$$

Similarly, for RPA/TDHF, if we consider a ground state containing 2p-2h correlations, we can not only create a p-h pair, but also destroy one. Thus (choosing the minus sign for convenience):

$$Q_n^\dagger = \sum_{ia} X_{ia} a_a^\dagger a_i - \sum_{ia} Y_{ia} a_i^\dagger a_a, \quad \text{and } Q_n |RPA\rangle = 0 \quad (7)$$

So instead of the basis of only single excitations, and therefore one matrix  $C_{ia}$ , we work in a basis of single excitations and single de-excitations, and have two matrices  $X_{ia}$  and  $Y_{ia}$ . We also have two kinds of variations  $\delta Q|0\rangle$ , namely  $a_a^\dagger a_i|0\rangle$  and  $a_i^\dagger a_a|0\rangle$ . This gives us two sets of equations:

$$\langle \text{RPA} | [a_i^\dagger a_a, [H, Q_n^\dagger]] | \text{RPA} \rangle = \hbar\omega \langle \text{RPA} | [a_i^\dagger a_a, Q_n^\dagger] | \text{RPA} \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{RPA} | [a_a^\dagger a_i, [H, Q_n^\dagger]] | \text{RPA} \rangle = \hbar\omega \langle \text{RPA} | [a_a^\dagger a_i, Q_n^\dagger] | \text{RPA} \rangle \quad (8)$$

These contain only expectation values of our four Fermion operators, which cannot be calculated since we still do not know  $|\text{RPA}\rangle$ . Thus we assume  $|\text{RPA}\rangle \rightarrow |\text{HF}\rangle$ . This gives

$$\langle \text{RPA} | [a_i^\dagger a_a, a_b^\dagger a_j] | \text{RPA} \rangle = \langle \text{HF} | [a_i^\dagger a_a, a_b^\dagger a_j] | \text{HF} \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab} \quad (9)$$

The probability of finding states  $a_a^\dagger a_i |0\rangle$  and  $a_i^\dagger a_a |0\rangle$  in excited state  $|n\rangle$ , that is, the p-h and h-p matrix elements of transition density matrix  $\rho^{(1)}$  are:

$$\rho_{ai}^{(1)} = \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_a | n \rangle \simeq \langle \text{HF} | [a_i^\dagger a_a, Q_n^\dagger] | \text{HF} \rangle = X_{ia} \quad (10)$$

$$\rho_{ia}^{(1)} = \langle 0 | a_a^\dagger a_i | n \rangle \simeq \langle \text{HF} | [a_a^\dagger a_i, Q_n^\dagger] | \text{HF} \rangle = Y_{ia} \quad (11)$$

Thus altogether

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}^* & \mathbf{A}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} \end{bmatrix} = \hbar\omega \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

with

$$A_{ia,jb} = \langle \text{HF} | [a_i^\dagger a_a, [H, a_b^\dagger a_j]] | \text{HF} \rangle \quad (13)$$

and

$$B_{ia,jb} = -\langle \text{HF} | [a_i^\dagger a_a, [H, a_j^\dagger a_b]] | \text{HF} \rangle \quad (14)$$

which are the TDHF/RPA equations.